

String Field Theory of $c \leq 1$ Noncritical Strings

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI AND HIKARU KAWAI

KEK Theory Group, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

ABSTRACT

We construct a string field Hamiltonian for a noncritical string theory with the continuum limit of the Ising model or its generalization as the matter theory on the worldsheet. It consists of only three string vertices as in the case for $c = 0$. We also discuss a general consistency condition that should be satisfied by this kind of string field Hamiltonian.

1 Introduction

String field theory is considered to be the most promising formalism for giving a non-perturbative definition of string theory [1]. In order to construct a string field theory, one should give a rule for decomposing the worldsheets of the string into propagators and vertices. This can be done by introducing a time coordinate on the worldsheets. There are several ways of doing so and a string field theory corresponds to each of them. Recently, a new kind of time coordinate, which can be naturally defined on dynamically triangulated worldsheets, was discovered[2]. Using this time coordinate, a string field theory for $c = 0$ noncritical string field theory was constructed [3]. We showed that the matrix model techniques [4][5], which were used to discuss a nonperturbative aspect of the noncritical string theory, can be easily deduced in this string field theory formulation. Therefore, we expect that such a time coordinate is also useful in constructing a string field theory for critical strings. In order to do so, we should know how to introduce matter field degrees of freedom on the worldsheet in the string field theory.

In the present work, we will construct a string field theory for $c \leq 1$ noncritical strings. The matter conformal field theory will be represented by the continuum limit of the Ising model or its generalization. In our string field formalism, the matter degrees of freedom will be taken into account by introducing several kinds of string fields. The string field Hamiltonian consists of only three string interaction terms. We will also discuss general consistency conditions that should be satisfied by this kind of string field theory.

2 String Field Theory for $c = \frac{1}{2}$ String

In this section we will construct a string field Hamiltonian for $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string. The $c = \frac{1}{2}$ matter theory is represented by the continuum limit of the Ising model.

Let us briefly recall the results of [3], in which a string field Hamiltonian for $c = 0$ string theory was constructed. For the $c = 0$ string theory, the string field depends on the length of the string, which is the only diffeomorphism invariant quantity of a loop in this case. We defined the creation (annihilation) operator, $\Psi^\dagger(l)$ ($\Psi(l)$), of a string with length l by the following commutation relation:

$$[\Psi(l), \Psi^\dagger(l')] = \delta(l - l'). \quad (1)$$

The string field Hamiltonian describes evolutions of a string in the coordinate frame defined in [2]. It was written in terms of $\Psi^\dagger(l)$ and $\Psi(l)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) \Psi(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \\ & + g \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1 + l_2) \Psi(l_1) \Psi(l_2) l_1 l_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty dl \rho(l) \Psi(l). \quad (2)$$

We now consider the noncritical string theory described by two dimensional gravity coupled to the Ising model. We will dynamically triangulate the worldsheet and put the Ising spins on the vertices. In this formulation, the diffeomorphism invariant quantities of a loop are the configuration of the spins on the loop as well as its length. Therefore the string field should depend on the spin configuration on the string. Here we will restrict ourselves to the simplest configurations where all the spins on the loop are the same, up or down. We denote the creation (annihilation) operator for the strings with such a configuration as $\Psi_\pm^\dagger(l)$ ($\Psi_\pm(l)$), where the subscript $\pm$ denotes the up or down spins on the string. We will construct a string field Hamiltonian involving only these fields. Since the usual matrix model technique treats only loops with such spin configurations, such a Hamiltonian is enough to reproduce the matrix model results.

If we constructed a straightforward generalization of the string field Hamiltonian in [3] using the time coordinate in [2], we would need to take other spin configurations into account. For example, let us consider a dynamically triangulated disk as the worldsheet. Since Ising spins are on the disk, it is divided into the domains of up spins and down spins. Suppose we start with a string with all spins up. After the “one-step deformation” in [2], however, down spins will appear, if the string hits the domain walls. Therefore, in order to make a string field theory by only two kinds of fields above, one should change the definition of the time coordinate a bit. We can do so as follows.

We are going to modify the “one-step deformation” in [2] so that only strings with all the spins aligned appear. Suppose we consider the evolution of a string starting from, say, all spin up configuration. Let us adopt the usual definition of the “one-step deformation” until the string hits the domain walls. When the string hits a domain wall, down spins appear in the string. In this case we change the notion of the “one-step deformation” as follows. Since the domain wall divides the regions of up and down spins, along each side of the wall only up or down spins appear. Therefore we consider that the string has split into two strings along the wall. The two strings are with all spins up and down respectively (see Fig. 1). If the string hits many domain walls simultaneously, we generalize the above definition and consider that the string splits into many with all spins aligned.

With such a definition of the “one-step deformation”, we can construct a Hamiltonian describing the evolution. It should include the following processes:

1. A string with all the spins up or down splits into the same kind of strings.
2. A string with all the spins up or down splits into several with all spins up and the others with all spins down.
3. A string disappears.

The first and third processes are the ones we encountered in $c = 0$ case [3]. The second one comes from the modified definition of the time evolution. If one wants to consider worldsheets other than the disk, one should include one more process:

4. Strings of the same kind merge.

The discrete Hamiltonian may consist of infinitely many terms of these kinds. In the continuum limit, however, only the terms which have the right scaling dimension survive. It will take a formidable task to construct the discrete Hamiltonian and take the continuum limit. Here we rather conjecture the continuum Hamiltonian and show evidences for its validity afterwards. The Hamiltonian we propose is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} = & \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi_+^\dagger(l_1) \Psi_+^\dagger(l_2) \Psi_+(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \\
& + \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi_+^\dagger(l_1 + l_2) \Psi_-^\dagger(l_2) \Psi_+(l_1) l_1 \\
& + g \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi_+^\dagger(l_1 + l_2) \Psi_+(l_1) \Psi_+(l_2) l_1 l_2 \\
& + [\Psi_+(\Psi_+^\dagger) \longleftrightarrow \Psi_-(\Psi_-^\dagger)].
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The first term and the third term are similar to the ones in $c = 0$ case. The second term corresponds to the new kind of process: the process 2 above. In such a process, as is clear from Fig. 1, a string with length l_1 splits into two strings with lengths $l_1 + l_2$ and l_2 , where l_2 is the length of the domain wall which the string hits.

If one expresses the dimension of length l by L , one has $[\Psi^\dagger] = L^{-\frac{7}{3}}$, $[\Psi] = L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ and $[\mathcal{H}] = L^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. As in the case for $c = 0$, the dimension of $\Psi^\dagger$ should coincide with that of the disk amplitude, which is $L^{-\frac{7}{3}}$ [6] for $c = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore the dimension of the time coordinate corresponding to this Hamiltonian is $L^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Thus, admitting that the Hamiltonian in eq.(3) is the continuum limit of the discrete Hamiltonian describing the processes above, we can consider that the continuum limit of the above “one-step deformation” defines a distance whose dimension is $L^{\frac{1}{3}}$ on the worldsheet of $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string theory. The string coupling constant g has the dimension $L^{-\frac{14}{3}}$, which is consistent with the matrix model result. Contrary to the $c = 0$ case, however, this Hamiltonian does not include a tadpole term. We expect that the tadpole term can be written in terms of the derivatives of $\delta(l)$ and involves only integer powers of the cosmological constant t . Hence, only strings with vanishing length can disappear and the worldsheet in such a process has zero area. However there is no such term with the right scaling dimension.

In the following, we will check if this Hamiltonian really describes $c = \frac{1}{2}$ noncritical string. In the rest of this section, we will show that we can reproduce at least the disk amplitudes of $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string theory from this Hamiltonian. In the next section, we will discuss a consistency condition that should be satisfied by this kind of string field Hamiltonian. It will be easy to see that the Hamiltonian in eq.(3) satisfies such a condition.

The disk amplitude can be expressed by the Hamiltonian as in the case for $c = 0$. Let $f_+(l)$ ($f_-(l)$) be the disk partition function with the boundary whose length is l and whose spins are all up (down). If one defines the bra and ket vacuum $\langle 0|$ and $|0\rangle$ by

$$\Psi_{\pm}(l)|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Psi_{\pm}^{\dagger}(l) = 0,$$

the disk amplitudes $f_{\pm}(l)$ can be expressed as

$$f_{\pm}(l) = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0|e^{-D\mathcal{H}}\Psi_{\pm}^{\dagger}(l)|0\rangle_{g=0}. \quad (4)$$

We can calculate $f_{\pm}(l)$ by deriving the Schwinger-Dyson (S-D) equation from this expression and then solving it. As in [3], the S-D equation is given by

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial D} \langle 0|e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}}\Psi_{\pm}^{\dagger}(l)|0\rangle_{g=0} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Using the factorization property of the amplitudes for $g = 0$, we obtain

$$l \int_0^l dl' f_{\pm}(l') f_{\pm}(l-l') + l \int_0^{\infty} dl' f_{\pm}(l+l') f_{\mp}(l') = 0. \quad (6)$$

We now solve this equation. The Z_2 symmetry of the Ising model implies $f_+(l) = f_-(l) \equiv f_{\frac{1}{2}}(l)$. Therefore, Laplace transforming it, eq.(6) becomes

$$\partial_{\zeta}[(\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta))^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{d\zeta'}{\zeta - \zeta'} \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta') \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\zeta')] = 0. \quad (7)$$

Here $\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta) = \int_0^{\infty} dl e^{-\zeta l} f_{\frac{1}{2}}(l)$ and C is the contour in the complex ζ' plane depicted in Fig.2.

In general, the integral in eq.(7) is not well-defined because of the divergence of the integrand as $\zeta' \rightarrow \infty$. In order to deal with such an ultraviolet divergence, one needs a consistent regularization. Actually such a regularization is known. Indeed, eq.(7) is exactly the kind of equation that Kostov has encountered in his analyses of *ADE* lattice models on a dynamically triangulated surface[7]. Therefore if one considers that the Hamiltonian in eq.(3) describes the continuum limit of such a discrete model, the method to regularize the ultraviolet divergence is apparent.

For example, one can solve eq.(7) by symmetrizing it with respect to the reflection $\zeta \leftrightarrow -\zeta$:

$$\partial_{\zeta}[(\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta))^2 + \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta) \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\zeta) + (\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\zeta))^2] = 0.$$

In doing so, an equality

$$\int_0^{\infty} dl e^{-\zeta l} \int_0^{\infty} dl' f_{\frac{1}{2}}(l+l') f_{\frac{1}{2}}(l') + (\zeta \leftrightarrow -\zeta) = \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta) \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\zeta), \quad (8)$$

is used, which can be derived when one regularizes it as in [7]. The cosmological constant t with the dimension $[t] = L^2$ is then introduced as the integration constant:

$$(\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta))^2 + \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta) \tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\zeta) + (\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(-\zeta))^2 = 3t^{\frac{4}{3}}. \quad (9)$$

Eq.(9) can be solved [7][8] to give

$$\tilde{f}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta) = (\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t})^{\frac{4}{3}} + (\zeta - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t})^{\frac{4}{3}}, \quad (10)$$

which coincides with the disk amplitude for the $c = \frac{1}{2}$ noncritical string theory[9].

One can proceed further and derive the S-D equation for more general amplitudes. Let us define the generating functional $Z(J_+, J_-)$ of loop operators by

$$Z(J_+, J_-) = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}} e^{\int dl [J_+(l)\Psi_+^\dagger(l) + J_-(l)\Psi_-^\dagger(l)]} | 0 \rangle. \quad (11)$$

The S-D equation for this quantity can be derived as in eq.(5) and one obtains

$$\int_0^\infty dl [J_+(l)T_+(l) + J_-(l)T_-(l)] Z(J_+, J_-) = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_\pm(l) = & \int_0^l dl' \frac{\delta^2}{\delta J_\pm(l') \delta J_\pm(l-l')} \\ & + \int_0^\infty dl' \frac{\delta^2}{\delta J_\pm(l+l') \delta J_\mp(l')} \\ & + g \int_0^\infty dl' J_\pm(l') l' \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\pm(l+l')}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Therefore if the equation

$$lT_\pm(l)Z(J_+, J_-) = 0 \quad (14)$$

has a solution satisfying the appropriate boundary conditions, it is a solution of eq.(12).

By expanding eq.(14) in terms of g , we can obtain equations for amplitudes with various topologies. By solving these, one can in principle calculate the string amplitudes with any number of handles and local operator insertions. For example, it is possible to show that the disk amplitudes with one local operator insertion of the $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string [9] are the solutions of such equations. We expect that the same thing happens for amplitudes with more local operator insertions and more handles. Unfortunately it seems difficult to prove that the Hamiltonian describes the $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string by showing that eq.(14) is equivalent to the W constraints [5], contrary to the $c = 0$ case. As is clear from the disk example in eq.(9), the S-D equations in this formulation do not give algebraic equations after the Laplace transformation. One should employ some transcendental techniques to solve it. Hence it is difficult to see the direct relation between our S-D equation and the W constraints, which are algebraic equations of loop amplitudes.

3 The Consistency Condition

In the preceeding section, we proposed a string field Hamiltonian eq.(3) for $c = \frac{1}{2}$ noncritical string and checked that it reproduced the disk amplitudes of the matrix model. In this section, we will give another evidence for the validity of this Hamiltonian. We will propose a consistency condition that should be satisfied by this kind of string field Hamiltonian. This condition plays a similar role as the modular invariance did in the string model building. We expect that it restricts the form of string field couplings severely. Since the Hamiltonian in eq.(3) will be shown to satisfy the condition, we consider it as the right Hamiltonian for $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string theory.

Let us first derive the consistency condition for $c = 0$ string theory and show that our string field Hamiltonian satisfies it. We will consider the worldsheet with the topology of the cylinder. Suppose we have a cylinder in which the minimum geodesic distance of the two boundaries of it is D and the lengths of the boundaries are l_1 and l_2 . We can calculate the amplitude corresponding to such a configuration in the formalism of [2][3]. Let us introduce the time coordinate on the worldsheet as in these references and follow the evolutions of the strings. Starting from the two boundaries, they keep splitting and disappearing until the time coordinate reaches $\frac{D}{2}$. At the time $\frac{D}{2}$, one string coming from one boundary and another string from the other boundary merge. After merging, the resultant string keeps splitting and disappearing to form a disk (Fig. 3). Therefore we have the following expression for such an amplitude:

$$\int_0^\infty dl'_1 \int_0^\infty dl'_2 f(l'_1 + l'_2) l'_1 l'_2 < 0 | \Psi(l'_1) e^{-\frac{D}{2} H} \Psi^\dagger(l_1) | 0 > < 0 | \Psi(l'_2) e^{-\frac{D}{2} H} \Psi^\dagger(l_2) | 0 > . \quad (15)$$

Here $f(l)$ is the disk amplitude for $c = 0$ string and H is the Hamiltonian for the *inclusive* process[2][3]:

$$H = 2 \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 f(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) \Psi(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2).$$

However the above is not the unique way of calculating such an amplitude. We can arrange the time coordinate on the worldsheet so that the merging occurs at a point from which the minimum geodesic distance to one boundary is D_1 and to the other is D_2 with $D_1 + D_2 = D$ (Fig. 3). Such a time coordinate is possible, if one does not make the two boundaries start evolving simultaneously. In such a coordinate system, the amplitude can be calculated in a similar way:

$$\int_0^\infty dl'_1 \int_0^\infty dl'_2 f(l'_1 + l'_2) l'_1 l'_2 < 0 | \Psi(l'_1) e^{-D_1 H} \Psi^\dagger(l_1) | 0 > < 0 | \Psi(l'_2) e^{-D_2 H} \Psi^\dagger(l_2) | 0 > . \quad (16)$$

Since we are calculating the same amplitude, the results (eqs.(15) and (16)) should coincide as long as $D_1 + D_2 = D$. Namely in order for the theory to be general coordinate invariant, the amplitudes should not change when one changes the coordinate

system. Such a condition implies the following equality for the disk amplitude $f(l)$:

$$l_1 l_2 \left[\int_0^{l_1} dl'_1 \int_0^\infty dl'_2 f(l'_1) f(l'_2) (l_1 - l'_1) \delta(l_1 + l_2 - l'_1 - l'_2) \right. \\ \left. - \int_{l_1}^\infty dl'_1 \int_0^\infty dl'_2 f(l'_1) f(l'_2) (l_1 - l'_1) \delta(l_1 + l_2 - l'_1 - l'_2) \right] = 0. \quad (17)$$

This is the consistency condition that should be satisfied by the disk amplitude. Each term on the left hand side of this equation is made from a combination of two kinds of the three string vertices in the Hamiltonian eq.(2). In Fig. 4, we schematically present such combinations. The left hand side of Fig. 4 corresponds to that of eq.(17).

The equality in eq.(17) can be proved by reducing it to the disk S-D equation. Symmetrizing in terms of l'_1 and l'_2 , the left hand side of eq.(17) eventually becomes

$$(l_1 - l_2) l_1 l_2 \int_0^{l_1 + l_2} dl' f(l') f(l_1 + l_2 - l'). \quad (18)$$

Eq.(18) can be illustrated as the right hand side of Fig. 4. The factor $l_1 l_2$ is accounted for by the merging vertex. Therefore the transformation from eq.(17) to eq.(18) can be considered as the duality-like transformation depicted in Fig. 4.

In this form the consistency condition can be related to the disk S-D equation

$$l \int_0^l dl' f(l') f(l - l') - 3\delta''(l) + \frac{3}{4}t\delta(l) = 0,$$

with the argument l replaced by $l_1 + l_2$. Substituting the disk S-D equation, we can show that the consistency condition can be proved, if

$$l_1 l_2 \frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 + l_2} \left[3\delta''(l_1 + l_2) - \frac{3}{4}t\delta(l_1 + l_2) \right] = 0. \quad (19)$$

Eq.(19) is subtle because both l_1 and l_2 vanish at the support, $l_1 + l_2 = 0$, of the delta functions, provided $l_1, l_2 \geq 0$. One way to deal with it is to Laplace transform it. Using

$$\int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 e^{-\zeta_1 l_1 - \zeta_2 l_2} h(l_1 + l_2) = -\frac{\tilde{h}(\zeta_1) - \tilde{h}(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}, \quad (20)$$

where $\tilde{h}(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty dl e^{-\zeta l} h(l)$, one can show that the Laplace transformation of the left hand side of eq.(19) vanishes.

Thus our $c = 0$ string field Hamiltonian satisfies the above proposed consistency condition for the cylindrical amplitude. The consistency condition was proved by reducing it to the disk S-D equation via the duality-like transformation in Fig. 4. It is rather miraculous that such a transformation exists. However, if one rewrites the consistency condition in the following way, the meaning of the duality-like transformation becomes clearer. The S-D equation for the generating functional $Z(J)$ of the $c = 0$ string loop amplitudes can be written as

$$[lT(l) + \rho(l)]Z(J) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where

$$T(l) = \int_0^l dl' \frac{\delta^2}{\delta J(l') \delta J(l-l')} + g \int_0^\infty dl' J(l') l' \frac{\delta}{\delta J(l+l')}, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\rho(l) = \delta''(l) - \frac{3}{4} t \delta(l). \quad (23)$$

Eq.(21) implies

$$[l_1 T(l_1) + \rho(l_1), l_2 T(l_2) + \rho(l_2)] Z(J) = 0. \quad (24)$$

Actually the order g part of the left hand side of eq.(24) coincides with the left hand side of eq.(17). Therefore the consistency condition is included as the order g coefficient in eq.(24), which is deduced from the S-D equation eq.(21). The higher order terms of eq.(25) may be interpreted as the higher genus generalization of the cylindrical consistency condition.

The functional differential equation eq.(21) is integrable. Indeed, it is easy to calculate the commutation relation of the operator $lT(l) + \rho(l)$:

$$[l_1 T(l_1) + \rho(l_1), l_2 T(l_2) + \rho(l_2)] = g l_1 l_2 \frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 + l_2} [(l_1 + l_2) T(l_1 + l_2) + \rho(l_1 + l_2)] - g l_1 l_2 \frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 + l_2} \rho(l_1 + l_2). \quad (25)$$

The last term on the right hand side is precisely the left hand side of eq.(19) and it vanishes. Hence eq.(24) can be proved by using eq.(25) and reducing it to the S-D equation. At the order g , eq.(25) is exactly the duality-like transformation Fig. 4. Thus the duality-like transformation is equivalent to the integrability condition of the S-D equation.

Since eq.(21) is equivalent to the Virasoro constraints [5], it is natural to expect the algebra in eq.(25) is actually the Virasoro algebra. Indeed, if one expands the Laplace transform $\tilde{T}(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty dl e^{-\zeta l} T(l)$ as

$$\tilde{T}(\zeta) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} L_n \zeta^{-n-2}, \quad (26)$$

the algebra

$$[T(l_1), T(l_2)] = g(l_1 - l_2) T(l_1 + l_2) \quad (27)$$

coincides with

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m} \quad (m, n \geq -1). \quad (28)$$

It is intriguing to observe that the algebra in eq.(27) looks like the “continuum limit” of the Virasoro algebra eq.(28) in the following sense:¹

$$n(\geq -1) \longrightarrow l(\geq 0),$$

¹It may be possible to show that such a “continuum limit” is indeed the continuum limit of the Virasoro algebra discovered in [10]. A similar observation is made by Y.Matsuo[11].

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{g}T(l), \\
[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} &\longrightarrow \left[\frac{1}{g}T(l_1), \frac{1}{g}T(l_2)\right] = (l_1 - l_2)\frac{1}{g}T(l_1 + l_2). \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

That is to say, the structure constant $l_1 - l_2$ of the algebra of $T(l)$ is exactly the “continuum limit” of the structure constant $n - m$ of the Virasoro algebra. Moreover the form of $T(l)$ in eq.(22) is the “continuum limit” of the Virasoro operator made from the bosonic oscillator:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n \ (n > 0) &\longrightarrow \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{g}\delta J(l)}, \\
\alpha_n \ (n < 0) &\longrightarrow \sqrt{g}lJ(l), \\
[\alpha_n, \alpha_{-m}] = n\delta_{n,m} &\longrightarrow \left[\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{g}\delta J(l)}, \sqrt{g}l'J(l')\right] = l\delta(l - l'), \\
L_n = \frac{1}{2}\sum_m \alpha_{n-m}\alpha_m &\longrightarrow T(l) = \int_0^l dl' \frac{\delta^2}{\delta J(l')\delta J(l-l')} + g \int_0^\infty dl' J(l')l' \frac{\delta}{\delta J(l+l')}. \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

This kind of observation is useful in supersymmetrizing our formalism [12].

Thus far we have proposed the consistency condition of the string field Hamiltonian for $c = 0$ string and proved it by using the duality-like transformation in Fig. 4. We showed that such a transformation is included in the integrability condition of the S-D equation as the order g coefficient. We now examine whether the Hamiltonian eq.(3) for $c = \frac{1}{2}$ string satisfies a similar condition. The Hamiltonian is related to the modification of the one-step deformation defined in section 2. In order to consider the consistency condition for the cylindrical amplitude in this case, we should use the distance which can be obtained as the continuum limit of this modified one-step deformation. We start from a cylinder in which the minimum of such distance between the two boundaries is D . Then we can proceed as in $c = 0$ case and obtain a consistency condition.

On the other hand, the integrability condition of S-D equation in this case means the closure of the commutation relations of the operators $T_\pm(l)$ in eq.(13). One can show

$$\begin{aligned}
[T_\pm(l_1), T_\pm(l_2)] &= g(l_1 - l_2)T_\pm(l_1 + l_2), \\
[T_\pm(l_1), T_\mp(l_2)] &= 0. \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Namely, we have two decoupled Virasoro constraints. Again the order g part of the left hand sides of the above equations exactly reproduce the form of the consistency condition for the cylindrical amplitude. Eq.(31) implies a generalization of the duality-like transformation in Fig. 4. It enables us to prove that the Hamiltonian in eq.(3) satisfies the consistency condition.

4 $c \leq 1$ Strings

It is straightforward to generalize the Hamiltonian in eq.(3) to the case where the matter theory on the worldsheet is a $c \leq 1$ unitary conformal field theory. Let us dynamically triangulate the worldsheet. The matter theory is introduced by putting height variables on the vertices of the triangulated surface. Suppose that the height variables take their values on the nodes of an ADE or $\hat{A}\hat{D}\hat{E}$ type Dynkin diagram and obey the following rules.

1. The heights for the neighbouring sites should be the same or linked on the Dynkin diagram.
2. At least two of the three heights assigned to a triangle should be the same.

The Ising model is the simplest (A_2) case. We will consider matter theories realized by such lattice models. The models considered here is similar to the ones discussed in [13] and we expect that the continuum limits of them cover the $c \leq 1$ unitary conformal field theories.

Now we will construct the string field Hamiltonian corresponding to the matter theory. As in the Ising case, we will deal with the strings on which the heights take the same value. Hence we should prepare the string fields Ψ_i , where the subscript i denotes the height. The worldsheets are divided into domains in which the height variables take the same value. As long as a string travels in a domain, all the heights on the string are the same. Different heights come in when the string hits the domain walls. Let us adopt the same definition of the one-step deformation as in the Ising model for such a case. Assuming that there exists a continuum limit of this system, we propose the following Hamiltonian describing the time evolution of the string in the coordinate system, which is an obvious generalization of the Hamiltonian in eq.(3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} = & \sum_i \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi_i^\dagger(l_1) \Psi_i^\dagger(l_2) \Psi_i(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \\
& + \sum_{i,j} C_{ij} \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi_i^\dagger(l_1 + l_2) \Psi_j^\dagger(l_2) \Psi_i(l_1) l_1 \\
& + g \sum_i \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi_i^\dagger(l_1 + l_2) \Psi_i(l_1) \Psi_i(l_2) l_1 l_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Here, C_{ij} is the connectivity matrix, where $C_{ij} = 1$ when the heights i and j are linked on the Dynkin diagram and it vanishes otherwise. This is a straightforward generalization of the Ising Hamiltonian in eq.(3). In the rest of this section, we will argue that this Hamiltonian describes a $c \leq 1$ string theory.

It is easy to make a S-D equation for the disk amplitudes from this Hamiltonian. Let $f_i(l)$ be the disk partition function in which the height variables on the boundary are i . $f_i(l)$ can be expressed as $f_i(l) = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}} \Psi_i^\dagger(l) | 0 \rangle_{g=0}$ and the S-D

equation for f_i becomes

$$l \int_0^l dl' f_i(l') f_i(l-l') + l \sum_j C_{ij} \int_0^\infty dl' f_i(l+l') f_j(l') = 0. \quad (33)$$

In the ADE case, it has the solution of the form

$$\tilde{f}_i(\zeta) = v_i[(\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t})^\alpha + (\zeta - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t})^\alpha], \quad (34)$$

where α and v_i satisfy

$$\sum_j C_{ij} v_j = -2 \cos(\pi\alpha) v_i. \quad (35)$$

Let us assume that our theory is unitary and $v_i > 0$. Eq.(35) has a solution $\alpha = \frac{h+1}{h}$ with $v_i > 0$, where h is the Coxeter number of the Dynkin diagram[13]. This is exactly what we expect for the disk amplitude for the unitary conformal field theory with $c = 1 - \frac{6}{h(h+1)}$ coupled to quantum gravity [9]. In the $\hat{A}\hat{D}\hat{E}$ case, the disk amplitudes of the form

$$\tilde{f}_i(\zeta) = v_i[(\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t}) \ln[\zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t}] + (\zeta - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t}) \ln[\zeta - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - t}]], \quad (36)$$

satisfy the loop equation with $v_i > 0$ and

$$\sum_j C_{ij} v_j = 2v_i. \quad (37)$$

This also coincides with the disk amplitudes of $c = 1$ string theory.

It is easy to check that the consistency condition holds for the Hamiltonian eq.(32). The integrability condition yields decoupled Virasoro algebras again. Therefore our Hamiltonian may be considered to describe the $c \leq 1$ string theory.

One may well take the connectivity matrix C_{ij} of an arbitrary diagram and construct a Hamiltonian as in eq.(32). Assuming the solution of the form in eq.(34), the disk S-D equation is reduced to eq.(35). Only ADE and $\hat{A}\hat{D}\hat{E}$ Dynkin diagrams are the ones in which eq.(35) has a solution with real α and positive v_i . Since ADE and $\hat{A}\hat{D}\hat{E}$ lattice models realize the unitary conformal field theories with $c \leq 1$, this fact can be considered as the manifestation of $c = 1$ barrier in this formalism.

5 Conclusions

In this article we have constructed string field Hamiltonians for $c \leq 1$ string theories. In contrast with the $c = 0$ case, we had to change the definition of the time coordinate so that only the string fields with all the heights aligned can appear. It seems that the $c = 1$ barrier is present in this kind of formulation. In order to generalize our string field theory to the critical string case, a formulation which deals with the whole matter

configurations on the string may be necessary. Work in this direction is in progress [14].

Moreover, in the present formulation, we restrict ourselves to the string theory with a unitary matter conformal field theory on the worldsheet. It may be possible to obtain nonunitary matter theories as the multicritical phases of the unitary theory[15]. In a recent work[16], it was shown that the transfer matrix formalism in [2] can be extended to the multicritical phases.

We have also discussed the consistency conditions that should be satisfied by this kind of string field Hamiltonian. We have shown that such conditions are related to the integrability condition for the S-D equation. The algebraic structure appearing in such an integrability condition may be a clue to construction of more general string field theories of this type.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank N.Kawamoto, Y.Matsuo, T.Mogami, Y.Okamoto, Y.Watabiki, Y.Yamada and T.Yukawa for useful discussions and comments.

References

- [1] M.Kaku and K.Kikkawa, Phys. Rev. **D10**(1974)1110;1823;
W.Siegel, Phys. Lett. **B151**(1985)391;396;
E.Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B268**(1986)253;
H.Hata, K.Itoh, T.Kugo, H.Kunitomo and K.Ogawa, Phys. Lett. **B172**(1986)186;195;
A.Neveu and P.West, Phys. Lett. **B168**(1986)192.
- [2] H.Kawai, N.Kawamoto, T.Mogami and Y.Watabiki, Phys. Lett. **B306**(1993)19.
- [3] N.Ishibashi and H.Kawai, Phys. Lett. **B314**(1993)190.
- [4] E.Br ezin and V.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236**(1990)144;
M.Douglas and S.Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335**(1990)635;
D.Gross and A.Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**(1990)127; Nucl. Phys. **B340**(1990)333.
- [5] M.Fukuma, H.Kawai and R.Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6**(1991)1385;
R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde and H.Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B348**(1991)435.
- [6] V.G.Knizhnik, A.M.Polyakov and A.B.Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**(1988)819;
F.David, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**(1988)1651;
J.Distler and H.Kawai, Nucl. Phys. **B321**(1989)509.

- [7] I.K.Kostov, Nucl. Phys. **B326**(1989)583; Phys. Lett. **B266**(1991)42; Nucl. Phys. **B376**(1992)539.
- [8] B.Eynard and J.Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. **B386**(1992)558.
- [9] G.Moore, N.Seiberg and M.Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. **B362**(1991) 665.
- [10] H.Itayama and Y.Matsuo, Phys. Lett. **B255**(1991)202.
- [11] Y.Matsuo, private communication.
- [12] N.Ishibashi, H.Kawai and J.Nishimura, in preparation.
- [13] V.Pasquier, Nucl. Phys. **B285**(1987)162;
P.Ginsparg, Nucl. Phys. **B295**(1988)153.
- [14] M.Fukuma, N.Ishibashi, H.Kawai and M.Ninomiya, in preparation.
- [15] V.A.Kazakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4**(1989)2125;
I.K.Kostov and M.Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. **B384**(1992)459.
- [16] S.S.Gubser and I.R.Klebanov, Preprint PUPT-1422, hep-th@xxx.lanl.gov - 9310098;
A.Tsuchiya, unpublished.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 One-step deformation when a string hits a domain wall. When a string with all the spins up hits a domain wall, we consider that it splits into two strings with all spins up and all spins down. If the length of the incident string is l_1 and that of the domain wall is l_2 , a string with length $l_1 + l_2$ and all spins up and another with length l_2 and all spins down are generated.

Fig. 2 The integration contour C in the complex ζ' plane. C should be taken so that it is on the left of ζ and goes between the two cuts of the integrand, $\zeta' > \sqrt{t}$ and $\zeta' < -\sqrt{t}$ on the real axis.

Fig. 3 Two ways of computing the cylinder in which the minimum geodesic distance between the two boundaries is D .

Fig. 4 The duality-like relation.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9312047v1>

This figure "fig2-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9312047v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9312047v1>

This figure "fig2-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9312047v1>